

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,387	07/03/2000	T. Frank Wang	ak Wang 8229-006-27	
7:	590 07/23/2002			
Steven B Kell	ber		EXAMINER	
Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe LLP 1200 Nineteenth Street NW Washington, DC 20036-2412		· · · ·	PEREZ RAMOS, VANESSA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2002	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ME-U			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
 	09/609,387	WANG, T. FRANK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vanessa Perez-Ramos	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u> April 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		JVed by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	dilinici.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 1765

1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (U.S. 5,545,289).

Chen discloses a method for etching a semiconductor device comprising: providing a device having a plurality of layers, including a layer comprising a refractory metal containing material, including a Ti-W alloy (col. 19, lines 43-46); and etching with a composition comprising a first etchant chemistry which comprises a chlorine source, including Cl2 (col. 19, lines 56-60), and further comprises N2 (col. 19, line 60), and a second etchant which is free of fluorine (col. 20, lines 15-17), and further comprises a chlorine source, including Cl2 (col. 20, line 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1765

4. Claims 5, 7-8 and 12-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. 5,545,289) as applied to claims 1-4, 6 and 9-11 above, and in view of Shoji (U.S. 5,853,602).

In regard to claims 5 and 7, Chen does not disclose that the first etchant further comprises a fluorine source.

Shoji discloses a method comprising: providing a semiconductor device having a plurality of layers, including a refractory metal containing layer and an oxide layer, and etching with an etchant comprising a chlorine and a fluorine source, wherein the chlorine source can be CI2, and the fluorine source can be SF6 (col. 2, lines 9-24). Furthermore, Shoji discloses that this etchant provides an improved etching rate and selectivity between a refractory metal layer and SiO.

It is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chen by further utilizing a fluorine source in the first etchant mixture, as per Shoji, in view of Shoji's disclosure about the benefits of such an etchant mixture.

In regard to claims 8 and 12-35, these claims differ from the claims discussed above by adding the further limitations of specific power ranges and concentrations. Although not disclosed by Chen or Shoji, it is the Examiner's position that the variation of result-effective process parameters, such as concentration and power, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, if only for experimentation purposes, in order to determine the optimum process conditions.

Art Unit: 1765

Response to Arguments

Page 4

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa Perez-Ramos whose telephone number is 703-306-5510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 09/609,387

Art Unit: 1765

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

> Vanessa Perez-Ramos Examiner Art Unit 1765

VPR July 17, 2002

> BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700